

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 ...

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,703	04/02/2004	Clive Reginald Steer	M00A259	1183
The BOC Grou	7590 07/11/2007 pp. Inc.		EXAM	INER
Legal Services-Intellectual Property			TRINH, TAN H	
575 Mountain Ave. Murray Hill, NJ 07974		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			. 2618	
	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			•			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/816,703	STEER, CLIVE REGINALD			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		TAN TRINH	2618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ap	oril 2004				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.	,	•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-4,8,10-17,23-29,32,33,37,39-41 and</u>	d 44 is/are rejected.				
7)🖂	Claim(s) 5-7,9,18-22,30,31,34-36,38,42,43 and	1 45 is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ander 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
		priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 8, 10-14, 17, 23-29, 37, 39-41 and 44, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagayasu (U. S. Patent No. 5029218) in view of Ohashi (U.S. Patent No. 6,625,285).

Regarding claims 1, 12 and 25, Nagayasu teaches a system for at least partially canceling noise (see figs. 1 and 11, col. 4, lines 3-9), the system comprising: a member configured to be located in the vicinity (13) of a noise producing object (10) (see fig. 1, member system (12) col. 5, lines 29-51), wherein the member comprises a plurality of elements (see fig. 1, plurality of elements sensor 22 and 26, microphone 40, and speaker 30), at least some of the elements (22 and 26) being configured to detect noise from the noise producing object (10), and at least some of the elements (30) being configured to emit sound (see col. 5, lines 29-51 and col. 9, lines 24-60); and a controller (25) configured to receive at least one signal indicative of noise detected by at least some of the elements and to send at least one signal so as to cause at least some of the elements to emit sound (see col. 9, lines 24-60), Nagayasu teaches the A signal S sent to the speaker 30 is taken as a reference signal, and a signal D detected by the first sensor 22 and a signal P detected by a second sensor such as a microphone 40 arranged at the object point 13 are taken to be response signals. The signals S, D and P are inputted to a transfer function

Art Unit: 2618

measuring device 42 such as a multi-channel FFT analyzer whereby a transfer function G.sub.SD between the speaker 30 and the first sensor 22 and a transfer function G.sub.SP between the speaker and the microphone 40 are obtained. But Nagayasu fails to teach emitting sound at a polarity substantially opposite to polarity of the detected noise.

However, Ohashi teaches the cancellation signal production element 24 produces, as such a cancellation signal as described above, based on the driving control signal to the acoustic driver 112 produced by the cooling control system 11 (control signal generation element 15) described above, an opposite phase signal which has a signal waveform of the opposite phase to that of the signal waveform of the driving noise and can thus cancel and erase the driving noise of the acoustic driver 112 (see fig. 3, noise control system 12, generating the signal with opposite phase to cancel the noise, col. 12, lines 29-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above teaching of Nagayasu with Ohashi, in order to use the output sound wave as cancellation sound for driving noise (see suggested by Ohashi on col. 11, lines 33-43).

Regarding claim 41, Nagayasu teaches a method of at least partially canceling noise (see figs. 1 and 11, col. 4, lines 3-9), comprising: detecting noise from a noise producing object (see col. 5, lines 29-51); and analyzing the detected noise so as to determine when the detected noise is indicative of at least one condition of the noise producing object (see fig. 9, sensor 22 at noise producing object 10 of the point object 13, col. 9, lines 24-60). Nagayasu teaches the A signal S sent to the speaker 30 is taken as a reference signal, and a signal D detected by the first sensor 22

Art Unit: 2618

and a signal P detected by a second sensor such as a microphone 40 arranged at the object point 13 are taken to be response signals. The signals S, D and P are inputted to a transfer function measuring device 42 such as a multi-channel FFT analyzer whereby a transfer function G.sub.SD between the speaker 30 and the first sensor 22 and a transfer function G.sub.SP between the speaker and the microphone 40 are obtained. But Nagayasu fails to teach emitting sound at a polarity substantially opposite to polarity of the detected noise.

However, Ohashi teaches the cancellation signal production element 24 produces, as such a cancellation signal as described above, based on the driving control signal to the acoustic driver 112 produced by the cooling control system 11 (control signal generation element 15) described above, an opposite phase signal which has a signal waveform of the opposite phase to that of the signal waveform of the driving noise and can thus cancel and erase the driving noise of the acoustic driver 112 (see fig. 3, noise control system 12, generating the signal with opposite phase to cancel the noise, col. 12, lines 29-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above teaching of Nagayasu with Ohashi, in order to use the output sound wave as cancellation sound for driving noise (see suggested by Ohashi on col. 11, lines 33-43).

Regarding claims 8, 17 and 37, Nagayasu teaches the system comprises a plurality of members (see fig. 1, members 22, 26 and 30), at least some of the members being configured to be connected together so as to form an enclosure configured to be placed at least partially around the noise producing object (10) (see fig. 1, the enclosure configured of 13 in system 12).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claims 10, 23 and 39, Nagayasu teaches a noise producing object (see fig. 1 and 11, noise producing object 10, col. 5, lines 29-51).

Regarding claims 11, 24, 40 and 44, Nagayasu teaches the noise producing object comprises at least one of a pump, a motor (see fig. 1, compressor 10, col. 5, lines 30-36).

Regarding claim 13, Nagayasu teaches the at least one noise detector comprises at least one of the elements (see fig. 1, sensor 22 for noise detector element).

Regarding claim 14, Nagayasu teaches the at least one noise detector is discrete from the elements (see fig. 1, sensor 22 for noise detector element).

Regarding claim 26, Nagayasu teaches further comprising a member configured to be located in the vicinity of the noise producing object (see fig. 1, member configured located in the vicinity 13 and system 12), wherein the member comprises a plurality of elements (see fig. 1, elements 22, 26 and 30), at least one of the elements comprising the at least one sound emitter (30) (see fig. 1, speaker 30 is sound emitter).

Regarding claim 27, Nagayasu teaches at least one of the elements comprises the at least one noise detector (see fig. 1, sensor 22 is noise detector).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 28, Nagayasu teaches an enclosure (13) configured to be placed at least partially around the noise producing object (10) (see fig. 1, member configured located in the vicinity 13 and system 12), wherein at least a portion of the enclosure comprises a plurality of elements (see fig. 1, elements 22, 26 and 30), at least one of the elements comprising the at least one sound emitter (30) (see fig. 1, speaker 30 is sound emitter).

Regarding claim 29, Nagayasu teaches wherein at least one of the elements comprises the at least one noise detector (see fig. 1, sensor 22 and 26 is noise detector).

3. Claims 2-4, 15-16 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagayasu (U. S. Patent No. 5029218) in view of Ohashi (U.S. Patent No. 6,625,285) further in view of Klenerman (U.S. Patent No. 6,589,727).

Regarding claims 2, 15 and 32, Nagayasu teaches the elements comprise piezoelectric material (see fig. 1 and 9, the elements sensors 22 and 26, microphone 40 and speaker 30). In this case, the elements sensors 22 and 26, microphone 40 and speaker 30 can be piezoelectric sensor and piezoelectric microphone and piezoelectric speaker.

Moerover, Klenerman teaches elements comprise piezoelectric material (see fig. 1 and 8, col. 2, lines 51-62, col. 4, lines 5-11 and lines 45-49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above combination of the teaching of Nagayasu and Ohashi, with Klenerman, in order to detect acoustic noise produced (see suggested by Klenerman on col. 4, lines 47-49).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claims 3, 16 and 33, Nagayasu fails to teach the elements comprise at least one of ceramic and quartz.

However, Klenerman teaches elements comprise quartz material (see fig. 1 and 8, col. 2, lines 51-62, col. 4, lines 5-11 and lines 45-49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above combination of the teaching of Nagayasu and Ohashi, with Klenerman, in order to detect acoustic noise produced (see suggested by Klenerman on col. 4, lines 47-49).

Regarding claim 4, Nagayasu teaches the elements. But Nagayasu dose not mention the elements substantially define at least one surface of the member.

However, Klenerman teaches elements substantially define at least one surface of the member (see col. 2, lines 51-62).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above combination of the teaching of Nagayasu and Ohashi, with Klenerman, in order to detect acoustic noise produced (see suggested by Klenerman on col. 4, lines 47-49).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-7, 9, 18-22, 30-31, 34-36, 38, 42-43 and 45, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2618

Reasons for allowance

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding dependent claims 5, 18 and 34, Nagayasu and Ohashi fail to teach the elements are arranged in an array resembling a generally mosaic form as specified in dependent claims 5, 18, 34.

Regarding dependent claims 6, 19 and 35, Nagayasu and Ohashi fail to teach the member is configured in the form of a panel as specified in dependent claims 6, 19 and 35.

Regarding dependent claims 9, 22, 38 and 45, Nagayasu and Ohashi fail to teach the controller is configured to cause at least some of the elements to emit sound at substantially the same intensity and frequency as that of the detected noise as specified in dependent claims 9, 22, 38 and 45.

Regarding dependent claims 21, Nagayasu and Ohashi fail to teach the enclosure comprises a plurality of portions configured to be connected together as specified in dependent claim 21.

Regarding dependent claims 30 and 42, Nagayasu and Ohashi fail to teach the analyzer is configured to compare the detected noise to information relating to at least one noise profile of the object as specified in dependent claims 30 and 42.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding dependent claims 31 and 43, Nagayasu and Ohashi fail to teach the analyzer is configured to provide output indicative of a possible failure associated with the object as specified in dependent claims 31 and 43.

Conclusion

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7888. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiners supervisor, Anderson, Matthew D., can be reached at (571) 272-4177.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office** whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Art Unit: 2618

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan H. Trinh Division 2618 July 2, 2007

PATENT EXAMINER TRINH, TAN

Page 10

Ornho Tom